

1 PAUL J. ANDRE, Bar No. 196585  
(Pandre@perkinscoie.com)  
2 LISA KOBIALKA, Bar No. 191404  
(Lkobialka@perkinscoie.com)  
3 JAMES L. HAUGEN, admitted *pro hac vice*  
(Jhaugen@perkinscoie.com)  
4 AMY SUN, Bar No. 237185  
(Asun@perkinscoie.com)  
5 PERKINS COIE LLP  
101 Jefferson Drive  
6 Menlo Park, CA 94025-1114  
Telephone: (650) 838-4300  
7 Facsimile: (650) 838-4350

8 Attorneys for Defendant  
INNOVATIVE ROBOTICS SYSTEMS, INC.

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
12 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

14 GENMARK AUTOMATION, INC., a  
California corporation,

15 Plaintiff-Counterdefendant,

16 v.

17 INNOVATIVE ROBOTICS SYSTEMS, INC.,  
18 a California corporation,

19 Defendant-Counterclaimant.

CASE NO. C 05-04707 PJH

**JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND  
TIME TO FILE JOINT CLAIM  
CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING  
STATEMENT**

20  
21 WHEREAS, the parties to the above-captioned litigation are required to file a joint claim  
22 construction and prehearing statement on July 24, 2006, pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3;

23 WHEREAS, the letter and spirit of the Court's Standing Order for Patent Cases requires  
24 that the parties genuinely meet and confer before filing the joint claim construction and prehearing  
25 statement to reduce the number of claim terms to be construed to no more than ten if possible;

26  
27  
28 **JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME  
TO FILE JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION  
AND PREHEARING STATEMENT**  
CASE NO. C 05-04707 PJH

1 WHEREAS, there are three patents at issue in the above-captioned litigation, and these  
2 patents involve numerous terms, including "means plus function" elements that require reference  
3 to the specification as directed by 35 U.S.C. § 112, para. 6.

4 WHEREAS there are still more than ten claim terms or elements in dispute between the  
5 parties;  
6

7 WHEREAS the parties wish to continue their meet and confer efforts to reduce the number  
8 of disputed claim terms to no more than ten;

9 WHEREAS, the parties agree that they require more time to meet and confer to satisfy the  
10 Court's Standing Order; and

11 WHEREAS, if the Court grants the parties' stipulated request to extend the time to file a  
12 joint claim construction and prehearing statement, such an extension will not otherwise affect the  
13 Court's scheduling order in the case;  
14

15 The parties therefore stipulate to extend the time to file a joint claim construction and  
16 prehearing statement from July 24, 2006 to August 1, 2006.

17  
18 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

19 DATED: July 20, 2006

**PERKINS COIE LLP**

20  
21 By

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa Kobialka

Attorneys for Defendant  
Innovative Robotics Systems, Inc.

22  
23  
24 DATED: July 20, 2006

**THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP**

25 By

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert E. Camors  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Genmark Automation, Inc.

- 2 -

26  
27  
28 JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME  
TO FILE JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION  
AND PREHEARING STATEMENT  
CASE NO. C 05-04707 PJH

1 THE COURT ORDERS as follows:

2 The parties' stipulated request to extend the time to file a joint claim construction and  
3 prehearing statement under Patent Local Rule 4-3 to August 1, 2006 is granted.  
4

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7  
8 DATED: July <sup>24</sup>, 2006

By \_\_\_\_\_

Honorable  
United States



I, Lisa Kobialka, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this **JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT**. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that **Robert E. Camors of Thelen Reid & Priest LLP, attorneys for Genmark Automation, Inc.**, has concurred in this e-filing.

DATED: July 20, 2006

**PERKINS COIE LLP**

By /s/  
Lisa Kobialka  
Attorneys for Defendant  
INNOVATIVE ROBOTICS SYSTEMS, INC.